



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,524	01/27/2004	Tohru Ikeda	00862.023420.	9965
5514	7590	10/16/2008	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				ZHU, RICHARD Z
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/16/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/764,524	IKEDA, TOHRU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	RICHARD Z. ZHU	2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2008.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/05/2008</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Acknowledgement**

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's amendment made on 08/18/2008. Applicant's submission filed has been entered and made of record.

### ***Status of the Claims***

2. Claims 1, 4-7, and 9 are pending. Claims 1, 7, and 9 are "currently amended".

### ***Response to Applicant's Arguments***

3. The applicant argued that the limitations set forth in claim 1 is not met by the prior art, the examiner disagrees. It is usually known in the art, as taught by **Rozzi (US 6867884 B1) (Col 2, Row 59 – Col 3, Row 8)**, the combination of cyan and magenta could cause problem in certain areas. For example, in area of high contrast, simultaneous printing of cyan and magenta generates a overprinted blue that causes graininess by making visible an isolated blue dot which prevents human eyes from integrating it into background image and thereby prevent the notion of continuous tone image. **Hudson** propose a counter measure against graininess of this type by employing a combination in which either cyan or magenta is used being greater even though both cyan and magenta fit the criteria for being printed as a combination in order to prevent an overprinted blue dot in area of high contrast.

Therefore, the limitation of Claims 1 and 7 are met by the prior art of record and the examiner believes that the rejection should be sustained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 4-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over ***Hudson et al (US 6057933 A)*** in view of ***Yano et al (US 5751310 A)***.

**Regarding the Apparatus of Claim 7 therefore Method of Claim 1 and Program of Claim 9,** ***Hudson*** discloses an image processing method comprising the steps of and means for:

input means for inputting image data representing an image, the image data including a plurality of items of color-components (**Figure 2, Printer 24 and Printer Controller 28.**

**See Col 3, Rows 33-48, Printer Controller 28 receives image data from Computer 22.**

**The plurality of color components being CMYK, see Col 3, Row 66- Col 4, Row 21;**

deciding means for deciding output data of a plurality of items of color-components (**Col 3, Row 66 – Col 4, Row 21, converting input RGB signals into halftone processed CMYK outputs**) which represent an image reproduced by an output device (**Fig 2, output device being printer 24**), by referring to a table in which a correspondence between input data and a plurality of output patterns is stored (**Figs 4-5, Col 4, Rows 30-63, Incrementor 56 and see Col 5, Rows 43-47, output patterns are error diffusion incremented outputs for channels C, M, Y and K**), based upon the input data (**Col 4, Rows 22-35, the outputs at**

**Incrementor 56 of each channel is dependent upon the input CMYK base output level), wherein the input data is generated by adding data distributed based upon color difference to the image data of the plurality of color components (Figs 4-5, diffused error term being added to the base output level to determine a final incremented output, see Col 5, Rows 31-43), and the color difference is generated by calculating the difference between the input data and output data (Col 5, Rows 31-43, as it is known in error diffusion, errors are calculated on the basis of color difference between quantized output and original input of each color channel);**

means for outputting the output data of the plurality of color components decided in said deciding step (Col 5, Rows 44-63);

wherein the output data is decided from candidates of a plurality of output patterns which are respective combinations of the plurality of color components, the color difference (Figs 4-5 and see Col 5, Rows 31-63, in particular the embodiment of Fig 5 where the output patterns are combinations of color components C, M, Y and K based upon diffused errors derived from color difference), of combination in which either cyan or magenta is used being greater, in comparison to that of the combinations in which cyan and magenta are simultaneously used in high contrast area (Col 6, Row 40 - Col 7, Row 5, to avoid graininess in the printed image, it is desired to increment only one of either cyan or magenta by one when both cyan and magenta errors are above the threshold).

Per claims 7 and 9, *Hudson* does not disclose the combinations in which cyan and magenta are simultaneously used have been excluded from the candidates in high contrast area.

*Yano* discloses an image processing method and apparatus for improving image visual qualities by resolving the deterioration of different inks (**Fig 3 and see Abstract, Background arts, and Summary**) whereas combinations in which cyan and magenta are simultaneously used have been excluded from the candidates in high contrast area (**Col 9, Row 32 – Col 10, Row 10, in particular, Col 10, Rows 1-10. To prevent blotting deteriorations in areas of high contrast, true black inks are applied whereas composite black inks formed by CMY are applied in areas that are not of high contrast**).

It would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to exclude at least the use of cyan and magenta in areas of high contrast as suggested by *Yano* in order to prevent deterioration of images in high contrast area between black pixel and color pixels by forming black pixel using true black ink instead of composite black ink employing cyan, magenta, and yellow (***Yano, Col 9, Rows 37-57***).

**With respect to the computer program reside upon a statutory computer readable medium, *Hudson* discloses a control program for causing a computer to execute the image processing method (Col 3, Rows 33-48, software to implement image processing method) and a computer readable medium on which the program set forth has been recorded (Col 3, Rows 33-48, software being located in computer 22).**

**Regarding Claim 4, *Yano* discloses wherein the output data of the plurality of color-components are decided based upon quality of printing required (Col 9, Row 32 – Col 10, Row 10, if the area is high contrast, true black ink is applied. If area is not high contrast, composite black formed by cyan, magenta, and yellow are applied).**

**Regarding Claim 5, Yano** discloses wherein the output data of the plurality of color components is decided based upon characteristics of printing media (**Col 9, Rows 53-57, the output of ink of penetration type, CMY composite black or evaporation type is decided on the basis of how deep it sinks into a recording medium, hence taking into consideration characteristics of printing media.**)

**Regarding Claim 6, Yano** discloses the plurality of items of output color-component data are decided based upon impact precision of an output dot pattern (**Col 9, Row 32 – Col 10, Row 10, deciding whether or not to use true black or composite black is on the basis of impact precision of an output dot pattern. For example, at boundary areas of high contrast, it is determined that impact precision of composite black formed by CMY can cause blotting due to overlay between CMY black and surrounding color pixels. Therefore, true black is applied).**

### *Conclusion*

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Richard Z. Zhu whose telephone number is 571-270-1587 or examiner's supervisor King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. Examiner Richard Zhu can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 6:30 - 5:00.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RZ<sup>2</sup>  
10/10/2008

Richard Z. Zhu  
Assistant Examiner  
Art Unit 2625

/King Y. Poon/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625